



Application Note Object-Oriented Programming in C

80

VPasial Sing

m58850.int

Document Revision F October 2017

+ loadBearing() : toolean

de colorioricolor

double Copyright © Quantum Leap info@state-machine.com 110

Furniture

Drawable

Table of Contents

<u>1 Introduction1</u>
2 Encapsulation1
3 Inheritance
4 Polymorphism (Virtual Functions)
4.1 Virtual Table (vtbl) and Virtual Pointer (vptr)
4.2 Setting the vptr in the Constructor
4.3 Inheriting the vtbl and Overriding the vptr in the Subclasses
4.4 Virtual Call (Late Binding)
4.5 Examples of Using Virtual Functions11
<u>5 Summary</u>
6 References
7 Contact Information

Legal Disclaimers

Information in this document is believed to be accurate and reliable. However, Quantum Leaps does not give any representations or warranties, expressed or implied, as to the accuracy or completeness of such information and shall have no liability for the consequences of use of such information.

Quantum Leaps reserves the right to make changes to information published in this document, including without limitation specifications and product descriptions, at any time and without notice. This document supersedes and replaces all information supplied prior to the publication hereof.

1 Introduction

Object-oriented programming (OOP) is *not* the use of a particular language or a tool. It is rather a **way of design** based on the three fundamental design *meta*-patterns:

- Encapsulation the ability to package data and functions together into classes
- **Inheritance** the ability to define new classes based on existing classes in order to obtain reuse and code organization
- **Polymorphism** the ability to substitute objects of matching interfaces for one another at run-time

Although these *meta*-patterns have been traditionally associated with object-oriented languages, such as Smalltalk, C++, or Java, you can implement them in almost any programming language including portable **ANSI-C** ^[1,2,3,4,5,6].

NOTES: If you simply develop end-user programs in C, but you also want to do OOP, you probably should be using C++ instead of C. Compared to C++, OOP in C can be cumbersome and error-prone, and rarely offers any performance advantage.

However, if you build software *libraries* or *frameworks* the OOP concepts can be very useful as the primary mechanisms of organizing the code. In that case, most difficulties of doing OOP in C can be confined to the library and can be effectively hidden from the application developers. This document has this primary use case in mind.

This Application Note describes how OOP is implemented in the <u>QP/C</u> and <u>QP-nano</u> real-time frameworks. As a user of these frameworks, you need to understand the techniques, because you will need to apply them also to your own application-level code. But these techniques are not limited only to developing QP/C or QP-nano applications and are applicable generally to any C program.

2 Encapsulation

Encapsulation is the ability to package data with functions into **classes**. This concept should actually come as very familiar to any C programmer because it's quite often used even in the traditional C. For example, in the Standard C runtime library, the family of functions that includes <code>fopen()</code>, <code>fclose()</code>, <code>fread()</code>, <code>fwrite()</code>, etc. operates on objects of type <code>FILE</code>. The <code>FILE</code> structure is thus *encapsulated* because client programmers have no need to access the internal attributes of the <code>FILE</code> struct and instead the whole interface to files consists only of the aforementioned functions. You can think of the <code>FILE</code> structure and the associated C-functions that operate on it as the <code>FILE</code> *class*. The following bullet items summarize how the C runtime library implements the <code>FILE</code> *class*:

- 1. Attributes of the class are defined with a C struct (the FILE struct).
- 2. Operations of the class are defined as C functions. Each function takes a pointer to the attribute structure (FILE *) as an argument. Class operations typically follow a common naming convention (e.g., all FILE class methods start with prefix f).
- 3. Special functions initialize and clean up the attribute structure (fopen() and fclose()). These functions play the roles of class constructor and destructor, respectively.

You can very easily apply these design principles to come up with your own "classes". For example, suppose you have an application that employs two-dimensional geometric shapes (perhaps to be rendered on an embedded graphic LCD). The basic Shape "class" in C can be declared as follows:



Listing 1 Declaration of the Shape "class" in C

```
/* Shape's attributes... */
typedef struct {
    int16_t x; /* x-coordinate of Shape's position */
    int16_t y; /* y-coordinate of Shape's position */
} Shape;
/* Shape's operations (Shape's interface)... */
void Shape_ctor(Shape * const me, int16_t x, int16_t y);
void Shape moveBy(Shape * const me, int16 t dx, int16 t dy);
```

The Shape "class" declaration goes typically into a header file (e.g., shape.h), although sometimes you might choose to put the declaration into a file scope (.c file).

One nice aspect of classes is that they can be drawn in diagrams, which show the class name, attributes, operations, and relationships among classes. The following figure shows the UML class diagram of the Shape class:



Figure 1 UML Class Diagram of the Shape class

And here is the definition of the Shape's operations (must be in a .c file):

Listing 2 Definition of the Shape "class" in C

```
/* constructor */
void Shape_ctor(Shape * const me, intl6_t x, intl6_t y) {
    me->x = x;
    me->y = y;
}
/* move-by operation */
void Shape_moveBy(Shape * const me, intl6_t dx, intl6_t dy) {
    me->x += dx;
    me->y += dy;
}
```

You can create any number of Shape objects as instances of the Shape attributes struct. You need to initialize each instance with the "constructor" Shape_ctor(). You manipulate the Shapes only through the provided operations, which take the pointer "me" as the *first* argument.



NOTE: The "me" pointer in C corresponds directly to the implicit "this" pointer in C++. The "this" identifier is not used, however, because it is a keyword in C++ and such a program wouldn't compile with a C++ compiler.

Listing 3 Examples of using the Shape class in C

```
Shape s1, s2; /* multiple instances of Shape */
Shape_ctor(&s1, 0, 1);
Shape_ctor(&s2, -1, 2);
Shape_moveBy(&s1, 2, -4);
. . .
```



3 Inheritance

Inheritance is the ability to define new classes based on existing classes in order to reuse and organize code. You can easily implement single *inheritance* in C by literally embedding the inherited class attribute structure as the *first* member of the derived class attribute structure.

For example, instead of creating a Rectangle class from scratch, you can inherit most what's common from the already existing Shape class and add only what's different for rectangles. Here's how you declare the Rectangle "class":

Listing 4 Declaration of the Rectangle as a Subclass of Shape

As you can see, you implement inheritance by literally embedding the superclass (Shape) as the *first* member "super" of the subclass (Rectangle).

As shown in the following figure, this arrangement leads to the memory alignment, which lets you treat any pointer to the Rectangle class as a pointer to the Shape class:



Figure 2 Single inheritance in C: (a) class diagram with inheritance, and (b) memory layout for Rectangle and Shape objects



NOTE: The alignment of the Rectangle structure and the inherited attributes from the Shape structure is guaranteed by the C Standard WG14/N1124. Section 6.7.2.1.13 of this Standard, says: "... A pointer to a structure object, suitably converted, points to its initial member. There may be unnamed padding within a structure object, but not at its beginning".

With this arrangement, you can always safely pass a pointer to Rectangle to any C function that expects a pointer to Shape. Specifically, all functions from the Shape class (called the *superclass* or the *base class*) are automatically available to the Rectangle class (called the *subclass* or the *derived class*). So, not only all attributes, but also all functions from the superclass are **inherited** by all subclasses.

Listing 5 The Constructor of class Rectangle

To be strictly correct in C, you should explicitly cast a pointer to the subclass on the pointer to the superclass. In OOP such casting is called *upcasting* and is always safe.

Listing 6 Example of Using Rectangle Objects

```
Rectangle r1, r2;
/* instantiate rectangles... */
Rectangle_ctor(&r1, 0, 2, 10, 15);
Rectangle_ctor(&r2, -1, 3, 5, 8);
/* re-use inherited function from the superclass Shape... */
Shape_moveBy((Shape *)&r1, -2, 3);
Shape_moveBy(&r2->super, 2, -1);
```

As you can see, to call the inherited functions you need to either explicitly up-cast the first "me" parameter to the superclass (Shape *), or alternatively, you can avoid casting and take the address of the member "super" (&r2->super).

NOTE: There are no additional costs to using the "inherited" functions for instances of the subclasses. In other words, the cost of calling a function for an object of a subclass is exactly as expensive as calling the same function for an object of the superclass. This overhead is also very similar (identical really) as in C++.



4 Polymorphism (Virtual Functions)

Polymorphism is the ability to substitute objects of matching interfaces for one another at run-time. C++ implements polymorphism with *virtual* functions. In C, you can also implement virtual functions in a number of ways ^[1,4,10]. The implementation presented here (and used in the <u>QP/C</u> and <u>QP-nano</u> real-time frameworks) has very similar performance and memory overhead as virtual functions in C++ ^[4,7,8].

As an example of how virtual functions could be useful, consider again the Shape class introduced before. This class could provide many more useful operations, such as area() (to let the shape compute its own area) or draw() (to let the shape draw itself on the screen), etc. But the trouble is that the Shape class cannot provide the actual implementation of such operations, because Shape is too *abstract* and doesn't "know" how to calculate, say its own area. The computation will be very different for a Rectangle subclass (width * height) than for the Circle subclass (pi * radius²).

However, this does not mean that Shape cannot provide at least the *interface* for the operations, like Shape_area() or Shape_draw(), as follows:

```
uint32_t Shape_area(Shape * const me);
void Shape draw(Shape * const me);
```

In fact, such an interface could be very useful, because it would allow you to write generic code to manipulate shapes uniformly. For example, given such an interface, you will be able to write a generic function to draw all shapes on the screen or to find the largest shape (with the largest area). This might sound a bit theoretical at this point, but it will become more clear when you see the actual code later in this Section.



Figure 3 Adding virtual functions area () and draw() to the Shape class and its subclasses



4.1 Virtual Table (vtb1) and Virtual Pointer (vptr)

By now it should be clear that a single virtual function, such as Shape_area(), can have many different implementations in the subclasses of Shape. For example, the Rectangle subclass of Shape will have a different way of calculating its area than the Circle subclass.

This means that a virtual function call cannot be resolved at link-time, as it is done for ordinary function calls in C, because the actual version of the function to call depends on the *type* of the object (Rectangle, Circle, etc.) So, instead the binding between the invocation of a virtual function and the actual implementation must happen at run-time, which is called *late binding* (as opposed to the link-time binding, which is also called *early binding*).

Practically all C++ compilers implement late binding by means of one Virtual Table (vtbl) per class and a Virtual Pointer (vptr) per each object ^[4,7]. This method can be applied to C as well.

Virtual Table is a table of *function pointers* corresponding to the virtual functions introduced by the class. In C, a Virtual Table can be emulated by a structure of pointers-to-functions, as follows:

Listing 7 Virtual Table for the Shape Class (see also Figure 3)

```
struct ShapeVtbl {
    uint32_t (*area)(Shape * const me);
    void (*draw)(Shape * const me);
};
```

Virtual Pointer (vptr) is a pointer to the Virtual Table of the class. This pointer must be present in every instance (object) of the class, and so it must go into the attribute structure of the class. For example, here is the attribute structure of the Shape class augmented with the vptr member added at the top:

Listing 8 Adding the Virtual Pointer (vptr) to the Shape class

```
/* Shape's attributes... */
struct ShapeVtbl; /* forward declaration */
typedef struct {
    struct ShapeVtbl const *vptr; /* <== Shape's Virtual Pointer */
    int16_t x; /* x-coordinate of Shape's position */
    int16_t y; /* y-coordinate of Shape's position */
} Shape;</pre>
```

The vptr is declared as pointer to an immutable object (see the const keyword in front of the *), because the Virtual Table should not be changed and is, in fact, allocated in ROM.

The Virtual Pointer (vptr) is inherited by all subclasses, so the vptr of the Shape class will be automatically available in all its subclasses, such as Rectangle, Circle, etc.



4.2 Setting the vptr in the Constructor

The Virtual Pointer (vptr) must be initialized to point to the corresponding Virtual Table (vtbl) in every instance (object) of a class. The ideal place to perform such initialization is the class' constructor. In fact, this is exactly where the C++ compilers generate an implicit initialization of the vptr.

In C, you need to initialize the <code>vptr</code> explicitly. Here is an example of setting up the <code>vtbl</code> and the initialization of the <code>vptr</code> in the <code>Shape's</code> constructor:

Listing 9 Defining the Virtual Table and Initializing the Virtual Pointer (vptr) in the constructor

As you can see the vtbl is defined as both static and const, because you need only one instance of vtbl per class and also the vtbl should go into ROM (in embedded systems).

The vtbl is initialized with pointer to functions that implement the corresponding operations. In this case, the implementations are Shape area () and Shape draw () (please note the trailing underscores).

If a class cannot provide a reasonable implementation of some of its virtual functions (because this is an abstract class, as *Shape* is), the implementations should assert internally. This way, you would know at least at run-time, that an unimplemented (purely virtual) function has been called:

Listing 10 Defining purely virtual functions for the Shape class

```
/* Shape class implementations of its virtual functions... */
static uint32_t Shape_area_(Shape * const me) {
    ASSERT(0); /* purely-virtual function should never be called */
    return 0U; /* to avoid compiler warnings */
}
static void Shape_draw_(Shape * const me) {
    ASSERT(0); /* purely-virtual function should never be called */
}
```



4.3 Inheriting the vtb1 and Overriding the vptr in the Subclasses

As mentioned before, if a superclass contains the <code>vptr</code>, it is inherited automatically by all the derived subclasses at all levels of inheritance, so the technique of inheriting attributes (via the "super" member) works automatically for polymorphic classes.

However, the <code>vptr</code> typically needs to be re-assigned to the <code>vtbl</code> of the specific subclass. Again, this reassignment must happen in the subclass' constructor. For example, here is the constructor of the <code>Rectangle</code> subclass of <code>Shape</code>:

Listing 11 Overriding the <code>vtbl</code> and <code>vptr</code> in the subclass <code>Rectangle</code> of the <code>Shape</code> superclass

```
/* Rectangle's class implementations of its virtual functions... */
static uint32 t Rectangle area (Shape * const me);
static void Rectangle draw (Shape * const me);
/* constructor */
void Rectangle ctor(Rectangle * const me, int16 t x, int16 t y,
                    uint16 t width, uint16 t height)
{
   static struct ShapeVtbl const vtbl = { /* vtbl of the Rectangle class */
        &Rectangle area ,
        &Rectangle draw
   };
   Shape ctor(&me->super, x, y); /* call the superclass' ctor */
   me->super.vptr = &vtbl; /* override the vptr */
   me->width = width;
   me->height = height;
}
```

Please note that the superclass' constructor (Shape_ctor()) is called first to initialize the me->super member inherited from Shape. This constructor sets the vptr to point to the Shape's vtbl. However, the vptr is overridden in the next statement, where it is assigned to the Rectangle's vtbl.

Please also note that the subclass' implementation of the virtual functions must precisely match the signatures defined in the superclass in order to fit into the vtbl. For example, the implementation Rectangle_area_() takes the pointer "me" of class Shape*, instead of its own class Rectangle*. The actual implementation from the subclass must then perform an explicit downcast of the "me" pointer, as illustrated below:

Listing 12 Explicit downcasting of the "me" pointer in the subclass' implementation

```
static uint32_t Rectangle_area_(Shape * const me) {
    Rectangle * const me_ = (Rectangle *)me; /* explicit downcast */
    return (uint32_t)me_->width * (uint32_t)me_->height;
}
```

NOTE: To simplify the discussion, Listing 11 shows the case where Rectangle does not introduce any new virtual functions of its own. In this case, Rectangle can just re-use the ShapeVtbl "as is". However, it is also fairly straightforward to extend the implementation to the generic case where Rectangle would introduce its own RectangleVtbl that would inherit ShapeVtbl.



4.4 Virtual Call (Late Binding)

With the infrastructure of Virtual Tables and Virtual Pointers in place, the virtual call (late binding) can be realized as follows:

```
uint32_t Shape_area(Shape * const me) {
    return (*me->vptr->area)(me);
}
```

This function definition can be either placed in the .c file scope, but the downside is that you incur additional function call overhead for every virtual call. To avoid this overhead, if your compiler supports inlining of functions (C99 standard), you can put the definition in the header file like this:

```
static inline uint32_t Shape_area(Shape * const me) {
    return (*me->vptr->area)(me);
}
```

Alternatively, for older compilers (C89) you can use function-like macro, like this:

#define Shape_area(me_) ((*(me_)->vptr->area)((me_)))

Either way, the virtual call works by first de-referencing the <code>vtbl</code> of the object to find the corresponding <code>vtbl</code>, and only then calling the appropriate implementation from this <code>vtbl</code> via a pointer-to-function. The figure below illustrates this process:



Figure 4 Virtual Call Mechanism for Rectangles and Circles



4.5 Examples of Using Virtual Functions

As mentioned in the beginning of this section on polymorphism, virtual functions allow you to write generic code that is very clean and independent on the specific implementation details for subclasses. Moreover, the code automatically supports an open-ended number of sub-classes, which can be added long after the generic code has been developed (and compiled!).

For example, the following code finds and returns the largest shape on the screen:

```
Shape const *largestShape(Shape const *shapes[], size_t nShapes) {
   Shape const *s = NULL;
   uint32_t max = 0U;
   size_t i;
   for (i = 0U; i < nShapes; ++i) {
      uint32_t area = Shape_area(shapes[i]); /* virtual call */
      if (area > max) {
          max = area;
          s = shape[i];
      }
   return s; /* the largest shape in the array shapes[] */
```

Similarly, the following code will draw all Shapes on the screen:

```
void drawAllShapes(Shape const *shapes[], size_t nShapes) {
    size_t i;
    for (i = 0U; i < nShapes; ++i) {
        Shape_draw(shapes[i]); /* virtual call */
    }
}</pre>
```

5 Summary

OOP is a design method rather than the use of a particular language or a tool. This Application Note described how to implement the concepts of encapsulation, (single) inheritance, and polymorphism in portable ANSI-C. The first two of these concepts (classes and inheritance) turned out to be quite simple to implement without adding any extra costs or overheads.

Polymorphism turned out to be quite involved, and if you intend to use it extensively, you would be probably better off by switching to C++. However, if you build or use libraries (such as the <u>QP/C</u> and <u>QP-nano</u> real-time frameworks), the complexities of the OOP in C can be confined to the library and can be effectively hidden from the application developers.



6 References

- [1] Miro Samek, "Portable Inheritance and Polymorphism in C", Embedded Systems Programming December, 1997
- [2] Miro Samek, "Practical Statecharts in C/C++", CMP Books 2002, ISBN 978-1578201105
- [3] Miro Samek, "Practical UML Statecharts in C/C++, 2nd Edition", Newnes 2008, ISBN 978-0750687065
- [4] Dan Saks, "Virtual Functions in C", "Programming Pointers" column August, 2012, Embedded.com.
- [5] Dan Saks, "Impure Thoughts", "Programming Pointers" column September, 2012, Embedded.com.
- [6] Dan Saks, "Implementing a derived class vtbl in C", "Programming Pointers" column February, 2013, Embedded.com.
- [7] Stanley Lippman, "Inside the C++ Object Model", Addison Wesley 1996, ISBN 0-201-83454-5
- [8] Bruce Eckel, "Thinking in C++", http://mindview.net/Books/TICPP/ThinkingInCPP2e.html
- [9] StackOverflow: Object-Orientation in C, August 2011
- [10] Axel-Tobias Schreiner, "Object-Oriented Programming in ANSI-C", Hanser 1994, ISBN 3-446-17426-5



7 Contact Information

Quantum Leaps, LLC

103 Cobble Ridge Drive Chapel Hill, NC 27516 USA

+1 919 360-5668 +1 919 869-2998 (FAX)

Email: <u>info@state-machine.com</u> Web : <u>http://www.state-machine.com/</u>



"Practical UML Statecharts in C/C++, Second Edition: Event Driven Programming for Embedded Systems", by Miro Samek, Newnes, 2008

